

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/527,802	Applicant(s) OISHI, TERUHIKO	
	Examiner Ana M. Fortuna	Art Unit 1797	

All Participants:

(1) Ana M. Fortuna.

(2) Robert E. Goozner.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 May 2009

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none.

Claims discussed:

11, and 22-25.

Prior art documents discussed:

none.

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant remarks and Declaration filed on 4/13/09, and the argued breaking stress property was discussed, and the amendment to claim 11 was approved by the Applicant. Claims 22-25 were also discussed, the Examiner suggested to provide the process steps in a clear form in claim 22, and made claims 23-25 depend on claim 22, this amendments were also approved and are attached thereon.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

